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In this paper we study the sedimentation of several thousand circular particles in two dimensions using the method of distributed Lagrange multipliers for solid-liquid flow. The simulation gives rise to fingering which resembles Rayleigh-Taylor instabilities. The waves have a well-defined wavelength and growth rate which can be modelled as a conventional Rayleigh-Taylor instability of heavy fluid above light. The heavy fluid is modelled as a composite solid-liquid fluid with an effective composite density and viscosity. Surface tension cannot enter this problem and the characteristic shortwave instability is regularized by the viscosity of the solid-liquid dispersion. The dynamics of the Rayleigh-Taylor instability are studied using viscous potential flow, generalizing work of Joseph, Belanger \& Beavers (1999) to a rectangular domain bounded by solid walls; an exact solution is obtained.

## 1. Simulation data

The data in this paper are generated by the direct numerical simulation of solidliquid flow using a distributed Lagrange multiplier/fictitious domain method (see Glowinski et al. 1999, 2000a, b). The calculation is carried out on a fixed triangular mesh on which the fluid equations are satisfied everywhere. Rigid motions of the portions of fluid occupied by solids are accomplished by a strategic choice of a Lagrange multiplier field there. The method has a certain elegance in that the rigid motion constraint on the fluid is associated with a multiplier field in a manner analogous to the way in which the pressure in an incompressible flow is a multiplier field associated with the constraint on incompressibility. The details of the computation have been given in the cited references and will not be repeated here.

The specific simulations discussed in this paper concern the sedimentation of several thousand disks settling in a two-dimensional rectangular box filled with water of density $\rho_{1}=1 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ and viscosity $v_{1}=0.01 \mathrm{P}$. Disks of the same diameter are initially arranged in three different lattices called square, hexagonal and rectangular, as shown in figure 1 . See also figures $2(a), 3(a)$ and $4(a)$. In a square lattice the gap sizes in the horizontal and vertical directions are the same. In the hexagonal case the gap in the horizontal direction is the same size as that between rows in the vertical direction. But in the rectangular case the gap size in the horizontal direction is 1.2642 times that in the vertical direction.


Figure 1. Initial lattices.


Figure 2. Snapshots of the sedimentation of $5040(a, b, W=8 \mathrm{~cm})$ and $7560(c, W=12 \mathrm{~cm})$ disks of diameter $14 / 192 \mathrm{~cm}$ in two dimensions. The initial lattice is square.

The diameters of the disks are $8 / 192 \mathrm{~cm}, 10 / 192 \mathrm{~cm}, 11 / 192 \mathrm{~cm}, 12 / 192 \mathrm{~cm}$, $13 / 192 \mathrm{~cm}, 14 / 192 \mathrm{~cm}, 15 / 192 \mathrm{~cm}$, and $16 / 192 \mathrm{~cm}$, and their density is $\rho_{p}=1.1 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$. The volume fraction of disks in the initial lattice is the ratio of the area $A_{p}$ of the disks to the total area $A_{T}$ of the initial lattice

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi=\frac{A_{p}}{A_{T}}=\frac{N \pi d^{2} / 4}{H W} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N$ is the total number of the disks, $d$ is their diameter, $H$ is the height of the initial lattice, and $W$ is the width of the box. In the simulation we have chosen 4,6 , 8,10 , and 12 cm as the width $W$ and the height of the box is always 12 cm .

When the initial lattice is square, there are 60 rows of disks in most cases. In


Figure 3. Snapshots of the sedimentation of 6270 disks of diameter $10 / 192 \mathrm{~cm}$ in two dimensions ( $W=10 \mathrm{~cm}$ ). The initial lattice is hexagonal.
each row, there are $42,63,84,105$, and 126 disks for width $4,6,8,10$, and 12 cm , respectively. To test whether more rows of disks can have a different effect, we also tested 80 rows in a two-dimensional box of width 10 cm and height 12 cm with diameters varying from $10 / 192 \mathrm{~cm}$ to $16 / 192 \mathrm{~cm}$.

For the hexagonal lattices there are 6270 disks staggered at the top of the box (see figure $3 a$ ): there are 60 rows each containing either 104 or 105 disks. The width and the height of the box are 10 cm and 12 cm respectively. The diameter of disks varies from $10 / 192 \mathrm{~cm}$ to $16 / 192 \mathrm{~cm}$. In figure $3(b)$, the sedimentation of 6270 disks of diameter $10 / 192 \mathrm{~cm}$ in a two-dimensional box is shown.

In rectangular lattices, there are 80 columns across the top of the box. We tested two cases in which the number of rows is either 60 or 80 in order to probe the effect of the number of rows. The diameter of disks varies from $10 / 192 \mathrm{~cm}$ to $16 / 192 \mathrm{~cm}$. Figure 4 shows snapshots of the sedimentation of 4800 and 6400 disks of diameter either $10 / 192 \mathrm{~cm}$ or $16 / 192 \mathrm{~cm}$ in a two-dimensional box.

In all simulations the averaged particle Reynolds number at each time step is less than 3. The maximal individual particle Reynolds number among all simulations is about 11. In each case, simulation gives rise to fingering which resembles RayleighTaylor instabilities (figures 2, 3, and 4). The waves have a well-defined wavelength and growth rate which we shall model as a conventional Rayleigh-Taylor instability of heavy fluid above light. The arrangement of sedimenting particles is asymmetric: flat on the top (most of the top in the hexagonal case) and corrugated at the bottom. The drag on a single disk is smaller than when it is among many, so that isolated disks at the bottom fall out of the lattice and isolated disks on the top fall into the lattice.

## 2. Two-fluid model

We turn next to the two-fluid modelling of the instability of the sedimenting suspension just described. The basic idea is to regard the particle-laden portion of the sedimenting suspension (shown in figures 2, 3, and 4) as an effective fluid with an effective viscosity $\eta_{2}$ and an effective density $\rho_{2}=(1-\phi) \rho_{1}+\phi \rho_{p}$ and, of course, zero surface tension $\gamma$; then we have two fluids: an effective one above and water below. The dynamics of this two-fluid problem can be analysed using viscous potential flow (Joseph \& Liao 1994). Joseph, Belanger \& Beavers (1999) showed that


Figure 4. Snapshots of the sedimentation of $4800(a, b)$ and $6400(c, d)$ disks in two dimensions $(W=8 \mathrm{~cm})$. The diameter of disks in $(a),(b)$ and $(c)$ is $10 / 192 \mathrm{~cm}$ and the diameter of disks in (d) is $16 / 192 \mathrm{~cm}$. The initial lattice is rectangular.
the wavelengths and the growth rates obtained with viscous potential flow differ from those obtained from a fully viscous analysis by only a few percent. The success of the potential flow analysis arises from the fact that viscosity mainly acts through the viscous part of the normal stress, acting in our problem through the effective viscosity of the solid-liquid suspension. Surface tension cannot enter into this problem so that the effective viscosity is the only mechanism which regularizes an otherwise ill-posed problem in which the growth rate increases like $1 / \sqrt{\lambda}$, tending to infinity with ever shorter waves (Joseph \& Saut 1990).

The analysis of Rayleigh-Taylor instability using viscous potential flow can be carried out in an infinitely extended domain using the method of normal modes with disturbance proportional to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{e}^{n t} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\left(k_{x} x+k_{y} y\right)} \mathrm{e}^{ \pm q z} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 5. Initial configuration.
where, for viscous potential flow

$$
\begin{equation*}
q=k=\sqrt{k_{x}^{2}+k_{y}^{2}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z$ increases in the opposite direction to gravity $g=980.6635 \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{~s}^{-2}$ and the sign $\pm k$ is chosen so that the amplitude decays at infinity. The analysis leads to the following dispersion relation (equation (25) of Joseph et al. 1999)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{2}+\rho_{1}=\frac{k}{n^{2}}\left(\rho_{2}-\rho_{1}\right) g-\frac{\gamma k^{3}}{n^{2}}-\frac{2 k^{2}}{n}\left(\eta_{2}+\eta_{1}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (4) depends on $k_{x}$ and $k_{y}$ only through $k$ in (3); hence (4) is valid in both two and three dimensions and it applies to the planar problem under discussion.

To get $k$ which maximizes $n$ (with zero surface tension, $\gamma=0$ ), we differentiate (4) with respect to $k$, set $\mathrm{d} n / \mathrm{d} k=0$ and find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
k=\frac{\left(\rho_{2}-\rho_{1}\right) g}{4 n\left(\eta_{2}+\eta_{1}\right)} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (5) into (4), we obtain the growth rate

$$
\begin{equation*}
n^{3}=\frac{\left(\rho_{2}-\rho_{1}\right)^{2} g^{2}}{8\left(\eta_{2}+\eta_{1}\right)\left(\rho_{2}+\rho_{1}\right)} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the associated wavelength is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
k^{3}=\frac{\left(\rho_{2}^{2}-\rho_{1}^{2}\right) g}{8\left(\eta_{2}+\eta_{1}\right)^{2}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also carry out a similar analysis in the rectangular domain of the computation as shown in figure 5, in which we can construct the viscous potential flow. Let $W$ be the width of the domain, and $H_{2}$ the height of the fluid-solid mixture above water of height $H_{1}$. Then the velocity obtained from a potential $\psi$ is $\boldsymbol{u}=\nabla \psi$. Let $z=\zeta(x, t)$ be the interface. The normal stress balance applied on $z=0$ may be reduced, using Bernoulli's equation, to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.-\gamma \frac{\partial^{2} \zeta}{\partial x^{2}}=\left[\left|\rho \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t}\right|\right]\right]+[|\rho|] g \zeta+2\left[\left|\rho \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial z^{2}}\right|\right] \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 6. Amplitude vs. time for the simulation of instability of 6400 disks shown in figure 4 (c). The dashed line is obtained by curve fitting.

The kinetic equation of motion of the perturbated free surface $\omega=\partial \zeta / \partial t$ implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial t}=\frac{\partial \psi_{1}}{\partial z}=\frac{\partial \psi_{2}}{\partial z} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The normal derivative of the potential $\psi(x, z, t)$ must vanish on the solid wall, $\partial \psi / \partial x=0$ on $x=0$ and $x=W, \partial \psi_{1} / \partial z=0$ on $z=-H_{1}(<0)$, and $\partial \psi_{2} / \partial z=0$ on $z=H_{2}(>0)$. The normal mode solutions corresponding to (8) on the bounded domain are

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi_{1}=A_{1} \mathrm{e}^{n t} \cos k x \cosh k\left(z+H_{1}\right), & \text { for } z<0 \\
\psi_{2}=A_{2} \mathrm{e}^{n t} \cos k x \cosh k\left(z-H_{2}\right), & \text { for } z>0  \tag{10}\\
\zeta & =A_{3} \mathrm{e}^{n t} \cos k x
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
k=(m+1) \pi / W . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is convenient to treat $k$ as a continuous variable.
After inserting (10) into (8) and (9) we find the dispersion relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\rho_{2}-\rho_{1}\right) g k-\gamma k^{3}=n^{2}\left(\frac{\rho_{1}}{\tanh k H_{1}}+\frac{\rho_{2}}{\tanh k H_{2}}\right)+2 n k^{2}\left(\frac{\eta_{1}}{\tanh k H_{1}}+\frac{\eta_{2}}{\tanh k H_{2}}\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The analysis of (12) proceeds along conventional lines: we find the $k$ which maximizes $n$; this $k$ is such that $k H_{1}$ and $k H_{2}$ are never smaller than 29 in those simulation cases and the two tanh in (12) are almost equal to one giving rise to (4). The comparison of computation and the model may then proceed on the basis of (4).

## 3. Comparison of the two-fluid model and simulation

The 'effective' viscosity $\eta_{2}$ of the solid-liquid dispersion is unknown and may be defined by our stability analysis using the following procedure. We first select the associated wavelength $k_{0}=2 \pi / \lambda$ where $\lambda$ is the wavelength determined by the


Figure 7. The effective viscosity $\eta_{2}$ for which $n\left(K_{0}, \eta_{2}\right)$ is maximum: the width of the box is $W$ varying from 4 cm to 12 cm , and height of the box is 12 cm , the initial lattice is square, the number of rows is 60 (except the case $W=10(80)$ in which there are 80 rows), the number of columns varies from 42 to 126 , and the diameter of disks varies from $10 / 192 \mathrm{~cm}$ to $16 / 192 \mathrm{~cm}$.


Figure 8. The comparison of the effective viscosity $\eta_{2}$ (for which $n\left(K_{0}, \eta_{2}\right)$ is maximum): the width of the box is $W=10 \mathrm{~cm}$, the height of the box is 12 cm , the initial lattice is either square $(W=10)$ or hexagonal $(W=10(h))$, the number of rows is 60 , the number of columns is 105 , and the diameter of the disks varies from $10 / 192 \mathrm{~cm}$ to $16 / 192 \mathrm{~cm}$.
numerical experiment. From (7) we can obtain the value of the effective viscosity $\eta_{2}$ and then the associated growth rate by (6). The determination of a growth rate from numerical simulation is carried out by fitting the growth in the wave amplitude to $b \mathrm{e}^{n t}$. The wave amplitude is the height of the wave crest defined by a line through the centres of disks in the bottom row. The time step is 0.001 s and the first record of


Figure 9. The comparison of the effective viscosity $\eta_{2}$ (for which $n\left(K_{0}, \eta_{2}\right)$ is maximum): the width of the box is $W=8 \mathrm{~cm}$, the height of the box is 12 cm , the initial lattice is either square ( $W=8$ ) or rectangular $(W=8(r))$, the number of rows in the square case is 60 , those in the rectangular case are 60 and 80 , the number of columns is 80 ; the diameter of the disks varies from $10 / 192 \mathrm{~cm}$ to $16 / 192 \mathrm{~cm}$. Cases in which there 60 rows (resp., 80 rows) and 80 columns of disks are marked by $\nabla$ (resp., ' $\times$ '). The '*' is a case in which there are 100 rows and 80 columns of disks of diameter $16 / 192 \mathrm{~cm}$.

| Disk | Solid <br> fraction <br> $(\%)$ | Wavelength <br> and associated <br> wavenumber <br> $K_{0}\left(\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ | Effective <br> viscosity $\eta_{2}$ for <br> which $n\left(K_{0}, \eta_{2}\right)$ <br> is maximum | $n\left(K_{0}, \eta_{2}\right)$ | Growth <br> rate from <br> simulation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10 / 192$ | 23.74 | $1.0,2.0 \pi$ | 0.14409 | 6.012 | 9.201 |
| $11 / 192$ | 28.69 | $0.8,2.5 \pi$ | 0.11135 | 7.380 | 10.237 |
| $12 / 192$ | 34.10 | $0.667,3.0 \pi$ | 0.09078 | 8.801 | 11.185 |
| $13 / 192$ | 39.97 | $0.615,3.25 \pi$ | 0.08690 | 9.904 | 12.716 |
| $14 / 192$ | 46.29 | $0.571,3.5 \pi$ | 0.08346 | 11.044 | 14.645 |

Table 1. The dimension of the box is $(W, L)=(4,12)$ and $H_{2}$ varies from 5.6541 to 5.6831. The number of disks is $42 \times 60=2520$ ( 60 rows). The averaged particle Reynolds number at the final time step varies from 2.226 to 1.692 . Initial lattice is square.
distortion of the line of centres through the bottom row of disks is $t=0.026$. Values of the amplitude used in the curve fitting are taken at 0.025 s intervals from $t=0.026$ to $t=0.476$. In figure 6 , a set of amplitudes and the curve are shown for the case in figure $4(c)$.

This procedure for determining the effective viscosity of sedimenting disks from a stability calculation has been implemented for a large number of cases and the results obtained are presented in tables $1-12$ and figures $7-9$. The data have been sorted by the geometry of the initial lattice of particles: square, hexagonal or rectangular (figure 1). In each of these three categories we vary the width and height of the initial lattice by adding or subtracting rows and columns of disks. Each table was indexed

|  |  | Wavelength <br> Disk <br> diameter | Solid <br> fraction <br> $(\%)$ | Effective <br> and associated <br> wavenumber <br> $K_{0}\left(\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ | (iscosity $\eta_{2}$ for <br> which $n\left(K_{0}, \eta_{2}\right)$ <br> is maximum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10 / 192$ | 23.66 | $0.600,3.33 \pi$ | 0.06149 | $7\left(K_{0}, \eta_{2}\right)$ | Growth <br> rate from <br> simulation |
| $11 / 192$ | 28.60 | $0.545,3.67 \pi$ | 0.05821 | 8.924 | 9.941 |
| $12 / 192$ | 34.01 | $0.500,4.00 \pi$ | 0.05537 | 10.150 | 11.451 |
| $13 / 192$ | 39.88 | $0.4615,4.33 \pi$ | 0.05287 | 11.423 | 12.565 |
| $14 / 192$ | 46.21 | $0.4615,4.33 \pi$ | 0.05778 | 12.278 | 14.512 |
| $15 / 192$ | 53.00 | $0.429,4.67 \pi$ | 0.05506 | 13.623 | 14.536 |
| $16 / 192$ | 60.25 | $0.4138,4.83 \pi$ | 0.05593 | 14.756 | 13.917 |

Table 2. The dimension of the box is $(W, L)=(6,12)$ and $H_{2}$ varies from 5.6738 to 5.7031. The number of disks is $63 \times 60=3780$ ( 60 rows). The averaged particle Reynolds number at the final time step varies from 2.248 to 1.296 . Initial lattice is square.

| Disk diameter | Solid fraction (\%) | Wavelength and associated wavenumber $K_{0}\left(\right.$ in cm $\left.^{-1}\right)$ | Effective viscosity $\eta_{2}$ for which $n\left(K_{0}, \eta_{2}\right)$ is maximum | $n\left(K_{0}, \eta_{2}\right)$ | Growth rate from simulation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10/192 | 23.61 | 0.500, $4.00 \pi$ | 0.04433 | 8.480 | 10.056 |
| 11/192 | 28.56 | 0.471, $4.25 \pi$ | 0.04462 | 9.600 | 10.712 |
| 12/192 | 33.96 | 0.444, $4.50 \pi$ | 0.04474 | 10.758 | 11.377 |
| 13/192 | 39.83 | 0.432, $4.625 \pi$ | 0.04698 | 11.795 | 12.503 |
| 14/192 | 46.17 | 0.421, $4.75 \pi$ | 0.04903 | 12.849 | 14.377 |
| 15/192 | 52.96 | 0.41025, $4.875 \pi$ | 0.05091 | 13.919 | 13.849 |
| 16/192 | 60.22 | 0.41025, $4.875 \pi$ | 0.05507 | 14.816 | 15.039 |

Table 3. The dimension of the box is $(W, L)=(8,12)$ and $H_{2}$ varies from 5.6838 to 5.7059 . The number of disks is $84 \times 60=5040$ ( 60 rows). The averaged particle Reynolds number at the final time step varies from 2.261 to 1.278 . Initial lattice is square.

| Disk | Solid <br> fraction <br> $(\%)$ | Wavelength <br> and associated <br> wavenumber <br> $K_{0}\left(\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ | Effective <br> viscosity $\eta_{2}$ for <br> which $n\left(K_{0}, \eta_{2}\right)$ <br> is maximum | $n\left(K_{0}, \eta_{2}\right)$ | Growth <br> rate from <br> simulation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| diameter | (\% | $0.455,4.4 \pi$ | 0.03707 | 8.889 | 9.979 |
| $10 / 192$ | 23.59 | $0.435,4.6 \pi$ | 0.03848 | 9.983 | 10.544 |
| $11 / 192$ | 28.53 | $0.4167,4.8 \pi$ | 0.03967 | 11.107 | 11.313 |
| $12 / 192$ | 33.93 | $0.4167,4.8 \pi$ | 0.04388 | 12.012 | 12.283 |
| $13 / 192$ | 39.81 | $0.400,5.0 \pi$ | 0.04464 | 13.179 | 13.735 |
| $14 / 192$ | 46.14 | $0.39215,5.1 \pi$ | 0.04691 | 14.233 | 14.366 |
| $15 / 192$ | 52.94 | 0.393 |  |  |  |
| $16 / 192$ | 60.20 | $0.37736,5.3 \pi$ | 0.04739 | 15.446 | 14.081 |

Table 4. The dimension of the box is $(W, L)=(10,12)$ and $H_{2}$ varies from 5.6899 to 5.7075 . The number of disks is $105 \times 60=6300$ ( 60 rows). The averaged particle Reynolds number at the final time step varies from 2.268 to 1.276 . Initial lattice is square.
by the volume fraction of disks; different volume fractions were created basically by changing the size of the particles.

The procedure we have adopted requires that we assess the success of the modelling by comparing the growth $n\left(K_{0}, \eta_{2}\right)$ with growth rate from simulation. The largest discrepancy (table 1) is of order $30 \%$ but the error is less than $10 \%$ in the most of

| Disk diameter | Solid fraction (\%) | Wavelength and associated wavenumber $K_{0}\left(\right.$ in $\left.^{\mathrm{cm}^{-1}}\right)$ | Effective viscosity $\eta_{2}$ for which $n\left(K_{0}, \eta_{2}\right)$ is maximum | $n\left(K_{0}, \eta_{2}\right)$ | Growth rate from simulation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10/192 | 23.57 | 0.429, 4.667 $\pi$ | 0.03308 | 9.151 | 10.022 |
| 11/192 | 28.51 | $0.414,4.833 \pi$ | 0.03500 | 10.230 | 10.570 |
| 12/192 | 33.92 | 0.400, 5.000 $\pi$ | 0.03671 | 11.333 | 11.308 |
| 13/192 | 39.79 | 0.400, 5.000 $\pi$ | 0.04066 | 12.257 | 12.308 |
| 14/192 | 46.12 | 0.387, $5.167 \pi$ | 0.04201 | 13.394 | 14.250 |

Table 5. The dimension of the box is $(W, L)=(12,12)$ and $H_{2}$ varies from 5.6939 to 5.7087. The number of disks is $126 \times 60=7560$ ( 60 rows). The averaged particle Reynolds number at the final time step varies from 2.272 to 1.695 . Initial lattice is square.

| Disk diameter | Solid fraction (\%) | Wavelength and associated wavenumber $K_{0}\left(\mathrm{in} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ | Effective viscosity $\eta_{2}$ for which $n\left(K_{0}, \eta_{2}\right)$ is maximum | $n\left(K_{0}, \eta_{2}\right)$ | Growth rate from simulation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10/192 | 23.59 | 0.47629, $4.2 \pi$ | 0.04047 | 8.685 | 9.788 |
| 11/192 | 28.53 | 0.45454, $4.4 \pi$ | 0.04183 | 9.763 | 10.435 |
| 12/192 | 33.93 | 0.43478, $4.6 \pi$ | 0.04295 | 10.873 | 11.255 |
| 13/192 | 39.81 | 0.41667, $4.8 \pi$ | 0.04388 | 12.012 | 12.259 |
| 14/192 | 46.14 | 0.40816, $5.0 \pi$ | 0.04464 | 13.179 | 13.527 |
| 15/192 | 52.94 | 0.38462, $5.2 \pi$ | 0.04528 | 14.372 | 14.080 |
| 16/192 | 60.20 | 0.37037, $5.4 \pi$ | 0.04580 | 15.591 | 13.857 |

Table 6. The dimension of the box is $(W, L)=(10,12)$ and $H_{2}$ varies from 7.5865 to 7.6101 . The number of disks is $105 \times 80=8400$ ( 80 rows). The averaged particle Reynolds number at the final time step varies from 2.268 to 1.264 . Initial lattice is square.

| Disk | Solid <br> fraction <br> $(\%)$ | Wavelength <br> and associated <br> wavenumber <br> $K_{0}\left(\right.$ in cm $\left.^{-1}\right)$ | Effective <br> viscosity $\eta_{2}$ for <br> which $n\left(K_{0}, \eta_{2}\right)$ <br> is maximum | $n\left(K_{0}, \eta_{2}\right)$ | Growth <br> rate from <br> simulation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| diameter | $(\% .48$ | $0.476,4.20 \pi$ | 0.04035 | 8.664 | 8.870 |
| $10 / 192$ | 23.48 | $0.455,4.40 \pi$ | 0.04170 | 9.740 | 9.257 |
| $11 / 192$ | 28.39 | 0.04282 | 10.848 | 9.722 |  |
| $12 / 192$ | 33.77 | $0.434,4.60 \pi$ | 0.04374 | 11.984 | 10.513 |
| $13 / 192$ | 39.62 | $0.417,4.80 \pi$ | 0.04451 | 13.148 | 12.226 |

Table 7. The dimension of the box is $(W, L)=(10,12)$ and $H_{2}$ varies from 5.6899 to 5.7017 . The number of disks is 6270 . The averaged particle Reynolds number at the final time step varies from 2.259 to 2.089 . Initial lattice is hexagonal.
cases. In general the errors are greater for small volume fractions and the largest errors occur at small volume fraction in the narrowest geometry ( $W=4 \mathrm{~cm}$ in table 1 ).

The data for the square lattice in tables 1-6 can be compared with the hexagonal lattice in table 7 and in the rectangular lattice in tables $8-10$. The initial lattice of disks is not modelled and it must have an effect. Obviously if most of the disks were in a ball, it would be necessary at least to prescribe the distribution of volume fraction at the initial instant. Results shown in table 4 (square) and table 7 (hexagonal) under otherwise identical conditions do not reveal any important differences; the

|  |  | Wavelength <br> Disk <br> diameter | Solid <br> fraction <br> $(\%)$ | Effective <br> wavenumber <br> $K_{0}\left(\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ | viscosity $\eta_{2}$ for <br> which $n\left(K_{0}, \eta_{2}\right)$ <br> is maximum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10 / 192$ | 23.80 | $1.14286,1.75 \pi$ | 0.17849 | $n\left(K_{0}, \eta_{2}\right)$ | Growth <br> rate from <br> simulation |
| $11 / 192$ | 28.44 | $1.0,2.0 \pi$ | 0.15883 | 6.572 | 8.632 |
| $12 / 192$ | 33.42 | $0.8889,2.25 \pi$ | 0.14358 | 7.548 | 9.723 |
| $13 / 192$ | 38.74 | $0.8421,2.375 \pi$ | 0.14268 | 8.338 | 13.039 |
| $14 / 192$ | 44.39 | $0.7619,2.625 \pi$ | 0.13084 | 9.370 | 13.508 |
| $15 / 192$ | 50.35 | $0.6956,2.875 \pi$ | 0.12105 | 10.428 | 15.038 |
| $16 / 192$ | 56.61 | $0.64,3.125 \pi$ | 0.11281 | 11.511 | 14.518 |

Table 8. The dimension of the box is $(W, L)=(8,12)$ and $H_{2}$ varies from 5.3711 to 5.7812. The number of disks is $80 \times 60=4800$ ( 60 rows). The averaged particle Reynolds number at the final time step varies from 2.591 to 1.552 . Initial lattice is rectangular.

| Disk | Solid <br> fraction <br> $(\%)$ | Wavelength <br> and associated <br> wavenumber <br> $K_{0}\left(\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ | Effective <br> viscosity $\eta_{2}$ for <br> which $n\left(K_{0}, \eta_{2}\right)$ <br> is maximum | $n\left(K_{0}, \eta_{2}\right)$ | Growth <br> rate from <br> simulation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| diameter | $(\% .0 \%$ | $1.14286,1.75 \pi$ | 0.17850 | 5.630 | 8.298 |
| $10 / 192$ | $23.80 \%$ | $1.0,2.0 \pi$ | 0.15883 | 6.572 | 9.591 |
| $11 / 192$ | $28.44 \%$ | 0.14358 | 7.548 | 10.553 |  |
| $12 / 192$ | $33.42 \%$ | $0.8889,2.25 \pi$ | 0.353 |  |  |
| $13 / 192$ | $38.74 \%$ | $0.8421,2.375 \pi$ | 0.14268 | 8.338 | 12.785 |
| $14 / 192$ | $44.39 \%$ | $0.7619,2.625 \pi$ | 0.13084 | 9.370 | 13.136 |
| $15 / 192$ | $50.35 \%$ | $0.6956,2.85 \pi \pi$ | 0.12105 | 10.428 | 14.253 |
| $16 / 192$ | $56.61 \%$ | $0.64,3.125 \pi$ | 0.11281 | 11.511 | 13.900 |

Table 9. The dimension of the box is $(W, L)=(8,12)$ and $H_{2}$ varies from 7.1614 to 7.7083 . The number of disks is $80 \times 80=6400$ ( 80 rows). The averaged particle Reynolds number at the final time step varies from 2.593 to 1.523 . Initial lattice is rectangular.

| Disk diameter | Solid fraction (\%) | Wavelength and associated wavenumber $K_{0}\left(\mathrm{in} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ | Effective viscosity $\eta_{2}$ for which $n\left(K_{0}, \eta_{2}\right)$ is maximum | $n\left(K_{0}, \eta_{2}\right)$ | Growth rate from simulation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16/192 | 56.59 | 0.6061, $3.3 \pi$ | 0.10315 | 11.827 | 13.959 |

Table 10. The dimension of the box is $(W, L)=(10,12)$ and $H_{2}$ is 7.7109. The number of disks is $100 \times 80=8000$ ( 80 rows). The averaged particle Reynolds number at the final time step is 0.857 . Initial lattice is rectangular.
columns giving the effective viscosity for these two cases match closely and can be seen graphically in figure 8 . However, an examination of the details of the instability in the region near the wall shows a dramatic difference between the square (figure 2), the hexagonal (figure 3) and the rectangular (figure 4). The staggered disks in the hexagonal case are such that only every other row of disks is near the wall, so the wall region has a lower solid fraction and is 'weaker' there in such a way that the whole bed sinks even as Rayleigh-Taylor waves develop. It is clear that the major effects of the wall are confined to a wall layer.

On adding more rows to some existing cases, the results shown in tables 4 and 6 (square) and tables 8 and 9 (rectangular) again do not reveal any important


Figure 10. Snapshots of the sedimentation of 9628 disks (left) and 11340 disks (right) of diameter $8 / 192 \mathrm{~cm}$ in two dimensions ( $W=8 \mathrm{~cm}$ ). The initial lattice is square.

|  | Solid <br> Draction | Wavelength <br> and associated <br> wavenumber | Effective <br> viscosity $\eta_{2}$ for <br> which $n\left(K_{0}, \eta_{2}\right)$ |  | Growth <br> (ate from |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| is maximum |  |  |  |  |  |
| diameter | $(\%)$ | $K_{0}\left(\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ | $n\left(K_{0}, \eta_{2}\right)$ | simulation |  |
| $8 / 192$ | 28.77 | $0.3478,5.75 \pi$ | 0.02484 | 11.207 | 11.914 |
| $8 / 192$ | 33.92 | $0.4,5 \pi$ | 0.03671 | 11.333 | 12.736 |

Table 11. The dimension of the box is $(W, L)=(8,12)$ and $H_{2}$ varies from 5.6988 to 5.7047 . The numbers of disks are $116 \times 83=9628$ ( 83 rows) and $126 \times 90=11340$ ( 90 rows). The averaged particle Reynolds number at the final time step varies from 1.031 to 1.536 . Initial lattice is square.
differences; the columns giving the effective viscosity for these two cases match closely as can be seen graphically in figures 7 and 9.

Since rectangular lattices are clearly more anisotropic than square ones, much greater differences in the effective viscosity when calculated at the same volume fraction in simulations starting from square and rectangular lattices of disks are evident in comparisons of tables 3 and 8 and summarized in figure 9 .

To test whether different size particles with the same volume fraction would give rise to the same result, we used smaller disks of diameter $8 / 192 \mathrm{~cm}$ in simulations with the square lattice. The results are shown in figure 10 and table 11. Comparing the results in table 3 with the same dimension and about the same volume fraction and $H_{2}$, again we found that the effective viscosity is not uniquely determined by the volume fraction.

We also considered a disordered initial lattice which was generated from a square lattice by moving disks in the horizontal and vertical directions randomly within a given distance, except those disks in the bottom row, which were only allowed to move in the horizontal direction. This initial configuration is not really a random one. (To generate a random initial configuration with a sharp and flat interface, we have to


Figure 11. Snapshots of the sedimentation of 5040 disks of diameter $10 / 192 \mathrm{~cm}$ in two dimensions ( $W=8 \mathrm{~cm}$ ). The initial lattice is disordered.

| Disk diameter | Solid fraction (\%) | Wavelength ${ }^{\dagger}$ and associated wavenumber $K_{0}\left(\mathrm{in} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ | Effective viscosity $\eta_{2}$ for which $n\left(K_{0}, \eta_{2}\right)$ is maximum | $n\left(K_{0}, \eta_{2}\right)$ | Growth rate from simulation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10/192 | 23.61 | 0.4444, $4.5 \pi$ | 0.03553 | 8.994 | 9.730 |

$\dagger$ The wavelength is an averaged quantity in this case due to the irregular shape of waves.
Table 12. The dimension of the box is $(W, L)=(8,12)$ and $H_{2}$ is 5.6838 . The number of disks is 5040 . The averaged particle Reynolds number at the final time step is 3.022 . Initial lattice is disordered.
have many much smaller particles. This is beyond the capability of our code for now.) The results are shown in figure 11 and table 12 . We still can find the development of waves in figure 11. We believe that the distribution of disks in these rows just above the bottom row is a perturbation which has a strong influence to the development of the interface. The viscosity is consistent with those of square and hexagonal cases with greater width (greater than or equal to 8 cm ) and about the same solid volume fraction.

## 4. Discussion and conclusions

The direct two-dimensional simulation of the sedimentation of a close packed array of circular particles into a rectangular box filled with water gives rise to fingers of particles with a wave structure which resembles that which arises from RayleighTaylor instability of heavy fluid above light. The wavelength and growth rate of falling particles can be compared with a two-fluid model of the Rayleigh-Taylor instability using viscous potential flow. The particle-fluid mixture is modelled as a heavier than water fluid with an effective density and viscosity. The effective density function of the solid fraction is given by the formula $\rho(\phi)=\rho_{p} \phi+\rho_{f}(1-\phi)$ which can be justified by ensemble and other kinds of averaging. Interfacial tension cannot
enter at the nominal water-mixture interface. The two-fluid model used here to study the Rayleigh-Taylor instability then is fixed when the hindered settling function $f(\phi)$, which determines the effective viscosity $\eta(\phi)=\eta_{w} f(\phi)$ where $\eta_{w}$ is the viscosity of water, is known. Many formulas have been proposed (see, for example, figure 3 in Poletto \& Joseph 1995) and none are perfect.
The effective viscosity of a suspension is a way of describing the flow resistance due to internal friction in a slurry. The resistance can depend on factors like wall proximity, particle size, particle distribution and other factors even when the solid fraction is fixed. Clumped particles fall faster than well-mixed particles, particles near walls fall more slowly. The flow type is also a factor: the effective viscosities of settling, shear and extensional flows are in general different even when the volume fraction is fixed. It is necessary to think of an effective viscosity of a dispersion under well-specified conditions; one suit will not fit all.
In our study we focus our attention on the effective viscosity functions of the volume fraction which gives rise to arrangements between computational experiments and two-fluid theory in a restricted situation; we choose the viscosity function to obtain the same number of waves from theory and numerical experiments. The theory may then be used to predict the growth rate and this theoretical value can be checked against numerical experiments. Theoretical and experimental values are listed in last two columns of tables 1-12 and the agreements are satisfactory.
We have already argued that effective property models live only in well-prescribed situations. This is a negative factor for modelling because besides the model we must specify the situations in which such a model exists. In this paper we determined viscosity function

$$
\eta\left(\phi ; W, H_{1}, H_{2}, d, I\right)
$$

where different functions of $\phi$ are obtained when the bed geometry $W, H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ (figure 5), the particle size $d$ and the initial lattice $I$ (figure 1) are varied.
The asymptotic case of a semi-infinite bed in which $W, H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ tend to infinity is of specific interest since in this case there is no length to compare with the circle diameter $d$; the instability must be independent of $d$ but could depend on the initial lattice $I$ of particles. This asymptotic case might be the most universal, the one closest to our two-fluid model on the semi-infinite domain leading to (4).
The periodic box of length $W$ used in our exact solution (10) can be repeatedly extended to infinity, but the ratio $d / W$ then is a solution parameter. The asymptotic limit of a semi-infinite domain mentioned above would be achieved when

$$
d / W \rightarrow 0 .
$$

Unfortunately, we cannot compute when there are very many small particles $d \rightarrow 0$ at finite $\phi$ or $W \rightarrow \infty$ at finite $\phi$. Our data show that the observed wavelength in the numerical simulation is decreasing function of $d / W$ when the initial arrangement of particles is fixed (see tables 1-6 and 8-11). The data suggest that there is a limiting value, depending on the initial arrangement, also for the two-fluid model as is indicated by the convergence of viscosity function exhibited in figure 7 .
Figure 7 shows a very strong effect of the walls; this arises as a consequence of the variation of $d / W$ for a fixed $d$ and by a perhaps serious mismatch of theory and numerical experiment which is amplified by reducing $W$. Nearby walls have a big effect when the no-slip condition at the sidewalls is enforced, as in the numerical simulation. The no-slip condition is not enforced in the viscous potential flow theory; the retardation due to the walls is apparently realized in the model by a higher value
of effective viscosity. This value is larger when the solids fraction is small because the shielding from the wall by other particles works less well when there are few than when there are many particles. The viscous potential flow model, which apparently works well as a fully viscous two-fluid model for Rayleigh-Taylor instability, may not be good approximation when the walls are close. A fully viscous two-fluid model of Rayleigh-Taylor instability would probably give better results.
The initial lattice of particles is an important parameter in our effective property model of Rayleigh-Taylor instability. Rather large differences in the effective viscosity are demonstrated between square and rectangular lattices as exhibited in figure 9. Figures $4(b)$ and $4(c)$ exhibit an increase of local solid fraction near the interface. However, when we increased the diameter of the disks from $10 / 192 \mathrm{~cm}$ to $16 / 192 \mathrm{~cm}$, this increase of local solid fraction is reduced dramatically (see figure $4 d$ ). An effective property model might be expected to work best in a statistically homogeneous medium. The square and hexagonal arrangements are periodic in $x$ and $y$ with the same period but the rectangular arrangement is doubly periodic.
The overall conclusion of this study is that effective two-fluid models can be made to work in particulate flow but such theories require a prior prescription of the domain of arrangements of particles to which the theory might apply. The greatest predictive value of such effective theories is for statistically homogeneous media.
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